

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/613,903	JORDAN, HEATHER J.
	<b>Examiner</b> Bradley L. Sisson	<b>Art Unit</b> 1634

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Bradley L. Sisson, Primary Examiner. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.  
 (2) Jonathan Aumais, Ph.D., Reg. No. 65,710. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: \_\_\_\_\_.

Type:  Telephonic  Video Conference  
 Personal [copy given to:  applicant  applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:  Yes  No.

If Yes, brief description: Draft claim amendment, submitted via facsimile transmission 27 April 2012.

Issues Discussed  101  112  102  103  Others

(For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 141, 165 and 169.

Identification of prior art discussed: Life Technologies, "GIBCO BRL Product Catalogue and Reference Guide 1995-1996," pages 14-2, 14-3, and 14-4.

**Substance of Interview**

(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc....)

Dr. Aumais authorized an Examiner's Amendment that would place the claims in condition for allowance and whereby claim 141 would be amended to recite the limitation that a "highlight fragment" would not be present; and claims 165 and 169 would be amended so to clarify how many fragments and from which groupings, are to be present and also how the relative mass was to be calculated.

**Applicant recordation instructions:** It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

**Examiner recordation instructions:** Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

Attachment

/Bradley L. Sisson/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1634